

the Referee was competent to evaluate.

So far as the failure to permit the defendants to respond to the plaintiffs' submissions by requiring all parties to provide submissions at the same time was concerned, the Court commented that the usual and safer practice is to permit a respondent to respond to a claimant and that there needed to be sound reasons for any departure from this practice and that none were advanced.

Mr Justice Cole stated that, in his view, the legislature should give consideration to amending the Sutors' Fund Act 1951 to permit orders to be made that the cost of references which proved to be of no utility should be paid from such fund. Mr Justice Cole stated that, in his view, it was unfair to the parties that they should be required to meet the costs of failed references, particularly as the references are a compulsory process ordered by the Court and which may be ordered, whether the parties consent to that course or not. In this instance, the Court ordered that the costs of the reference be costs in the cause.

- John Tyrill

Unreasonably Terminating The Contractor's Engagement

In 9 Australian Construction Law Newsletter at p 25 there is a report of the decision of Cole J in *Minister for Public works v Renard* concerning the interpretation of Clause 44 of the National Public Works Conference General Conditions. On 19 February, 1990 the NSW Court of Appeal granted Renard leave to appeal. Clause 44 gives the Principal the power to take work out of the hands of the Contractor when the Contractor defaults.

In another case, *Minister for Public Works v Renard (Renard No. 1)* reported in 7 Australian Construction Law Newsletter at p 15, Brownie J in the NSW Supreme Court said that there is an implied condition that the Principal will act reasonably in deciding whether to take over work under Clause 44. However, Cole J in the decision reported in 9 Australian Construction Law Newsletter at p 25 distinguished the decision of Brownie J and held that the Principal was not obliged to act reasonably when deciding whether to take over work under Clause 44.

In yet another case, also reported in 9 Australian Construction Law Newsletter at p 25, Giles J in the NSW Supreme Court held that there is no principle of law that the Principal must act reasonably when making a decision under Clause 44 (*Hughes Bros Pty Limited v Trustees of the Roman Catholic Church*). It will be interesting to see the views of the Court of Appeal on the issue of reasonableness.

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION MANUALS

Construction Publications has available Contract Administration Manuals for SAA General Conditions of Contract AS2124-1986 and its companion subcontract SAA Subcontract Conditions AS2545-1987, for use in non-residential building and engineering construction contracts.

These Manuals examine and comment upon all of the

provisions of of AS2124-1986 and AS2545-1987 respectively in the form of flowchart analyses. The Manuals explain the provisions of the contracts, comment upon the principles at law and the relative positions of the parties. The Manuals include recommended courses of action, sample notices and sample claims and are indispensable guides and practical tools for use in contract administration of head contracts and subcontracts. The Manuals have been prepared to provide assistance to the Principal, the Superintendent, the Main Contractor and the Subcontractor without bias; the relative rights and obligations and bases of claim and relief are dealt with in detail.

In addition to providing assistance in contract administration, the Manuals will assist in setting up tender documents by highlighting key issues upon which decisions are required, including the alternatives in the contract to apply.

The price of the Manuals is \$495.00 each, which is highly cost effective in view of the benefit to and guidance in contract administration involved and the quality of information provided.

For further details or to order, write to:

Construction Publications
P.O. Box 1341 Crows Nest NSW 2065
Fax 02 918 7153

or call:

Michelle de Lepervanche on 02 918 9569.