

## Acknowledgments

This book is, to the best of our knowledge, the first edited collection of essays on the Uniform Evidence Law. Rather than seeking contributions on some contrived theme, we opted for a ‘bottom-up’ approach, inviting leading scholars in the field to produce papers on what they consider to be the most interesting and problematic aspects of this body of law. First and foremost we would like to thank those whose work appears in this volume, for not only producing an interesting and diverse set of papers, but also for their enthusiasm and ability to meet various deadlines along the way.

Drafts of the papers were discussed at two workshops held towards the end of 2016, one in Sydney and one in Melbourne. We are particularly grateful to Jill Hunter and James Parker who attended the workshops, provided commentaries on draft papers and offered valuable insights. We would also like to acknowledge the generous support for the workshops provided by the Dean of Melbourne Law School, Carolyn Evans.

Finally, but certainly not least, we are indebted to Jason Monaghan at The Federation Press for taking on our proposal for an edited collection, to Rebecca Fung who saw the manuscript through to publication as a book, and to Josephine Romeo for her efforts to ensure that it will reach its intended audience.

*Andrew Roberts*  
*Jeremy Gans*

## About the Contributors

**John Anderson** is an Associate Professor of Law at the University of Newcastle. Before becoming an academic, he was a senior solicitor/advocate in the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (NSW). His primary research interests are in relation to the criminal justice system, sentencing and evidence law. He has published in leading Australian and international journals and is a chief investigator on the Evidence-based Law and Practice Priority Research Initiative. He is the author and co-author of several evidence and criminal law books including *Uniform Evidence Law: Text and Essential Cases* (3rd edn, Federation Press, 2016), *Uniform Evidence in Australia* (LexisNexis, 2015), *Uniform Evidence Law Guidebook* (Oxford University Press, 2014) and *Criminal Law Guidebook: New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia* (2nd edn, Oxford University Press, 2016).

**Andrew Choo** is a Professor of Law in the School of Law at City, University of London, having previously held appointments at the Universities of Warwick and Leicester, Brunel University and the University of New South Wales. His research interests include evidence and procedure, especially criminal evidence. He is the author of *Abuse of Process and Judicial Stays of Criminal Proceedings* (Oxford University Press, 2008), *The Privilege against Self-Incrimination and Criminal Justice* (Hart, 2013), and a leading text on the law of evidence, the fourth edition of which was published by Oxford University Press in 2015. His published work has been cited in decisions of various appellate courts, including the House of Lords, the UK Supreme Court, the Privy Council, the Supreme Court of New Zealand and the Supreme Court of Canada.

**Annie Cossins** is a Professor of Law at the University of New South Wales. She is the pre-eminent Australian expert on legal reform in the area of sexual assault and a scholar in theoretical criminology. She is the founder and Convenor of the National Child Sexual Assault Reform Committee and was a founding member of the New South Wales Working Party Concerning the Confidentiality of Sexual Assault Counsellors' Notes and the main author of submissions to the New South Wales Attorney-General's Department which were used as the basis for the introduction of s 126H of the *Evidence Act 1995* (NSW), the first sexual assault communications privilege enacted in Australia. Her reform work was also used as the basis for amendments to s 66 (a hearsay exception) of the Uniform Evidence Law. She is an academic member of the Advisory Committee of the Australian Institute of Judicial Administration on a Guide to Children Giving Evidence and as a member of this committee, co-authored the first Australian benchbook on children's evidence, published by the Australian Institute of Judicial Administration. She has published widely on matters relating to prosecution and proof in respect of child sex offences.

**Gary Edmond** is a Professor of Law at the University of New South Wales. He has published on law and science, expert evidence, and the public understanding of science

in journals dedicated to law, sociology and science studies. His research interests, spanning the Anglo-American world, are primarily focused on the evidentiary and procedural dimensions of expert evidence, particularly admissibility issues and the forensic sciences. He is a member of the Australian and New Zealand Forensic Science Society, the Australasian Association for the History, Philosophy and Social Studies of Science, the Society for the Social Study of Science (US) and sits on the Council of the Australian Academy of Forensic Sciences. He is currently engaged in interdisciplinary research with forensic scientists, experimental psychologists, theorists and legal practitioners.

**Jeremy Gans** is a Professor at Melbourne Law School, the University of Melbourne. He is author of *Modern Criminal Law of Australia* (Cambridge University Press, 2017) and the co-author of *Uniform Evidence* (Oxford University Press, 2014) and *Criminal Process and Human Rights* (Federation Press, 2011). He is the human rights advisor to the Victorian Parliament's legislation scrutiny committee (since 2007) and the noticeboard editor for the *International Journal of Evidence and Proof* (since 2013).

**Jane Goodman-Delahunty** is a Research Professor in the Faculty of Business, Justice and Behavioural Sciences at Charles Sturt University and a Member of the New South Wales Civil and Administrative Tribunal. A lawyer and psychologist, she is co-author of *Evaluation for Workplace Discrimination and Harassment* (Oxford University Press, 2011); *Legal Psychology in Australia* (Thomson Reuters, 2015), *Juries and Expert Evidence in Criminal Trials* (Oxford University Press, 2016), *Juries, Science and Popular Culture in The Age of Terror* (Palgrave MacMillan, 2017); and editor of *Trends in Legal Advocacy: Interviews with Leading Prosecutors and Defence Lawyers Around the Globe* (Taylor & Francis, 2017).

**David Hamer** is a Professor in the School of Law at the University of Sydney. His main research interest is in the law of evidence. He takes an interdisciplinary approach, interrogating the law and the proof process more broadly using tools drawn from probability theory, narrative theory and psychology. He is co-author of *Laying Down the Law* (LexisNexis, 2014) and co-editor of the *Concise Australian Law Dictionary* (LexisNexis, 2014). He has published widely in leading Australian and international journals and is an academic advisor to the Criminal Law Committee of the New South Wales Bar Association.

**Andrew Hemming** is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Law and Justice at the University of Southern Queensland, having previously held an appointment at Charles Darwin University. He has degrees in economics, planning and law, and prior to becoming an academic he held senior managerial positions with the Government of Fiji, the Victorian Government, the District Council of Coober Pedy, and the Northern Territory Power and Water Corporation. His research interests focus on criminal law and evidence. He is the author and co-author of several books including *Evidence Law in Qld, SA and WA* (Thomson Reuters, 2017), *Criminal Law Guidebook: Queensland and Western Australia* (Oxford University Press, 2015), *Criminal Procedure in Australia* (LexisNexis, 2015), *Civil Procedure in Australia* (LexisNexis, 2015) and *Evidence: Commentary and Materials* (8th edn, Thomson Reuters, 2013).

## ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

**Miiko Kumar** is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Law at the University of Sydney. She is also a barrister practising at the New South Wales Bar. Her main research interest is in the law of evidence. She has published articles on evidence and is co-author of several books including *Uniform Evidence Law: Commentary and Materials* (5th edn, Thomson Reuters, 2015) and *Principles of Civil Procedure in New South Wales* (3rd edn, Thomson Reuters, 2016). She is co-editor of *Secrecy, Law and Society* (Routledge, 2015). Miiko is an assistant editor of the *New South Wales Law Reports* and is on the editorial board of the *Australian Alternative Dispute Resolution Law Bulletin*. She was on the advisory committee for the Australian Law Reform Commission's review of the *Evidence Act*, Report 102 (2005).

**Elisabeth McDonald** is a Professor at the School of Law, University of Canterbury, New Zealand. She was the Research and Policy Manager of the Evidence Law Reform Project at the New Zealand Law Commission from 1997 to 1999. She is the author of *Principles of the Law of Evidence in Criminal Cases* (Thomson Reuters, Wellington, 2012) and co-author of *The Evidence Act 2006: Act and Analysis* (3rd edn, Thomson Reuters, 2014). She presents regular evidence and procedure seminars for the Institute of Judicial Studies. The prosecution of sexual offences is a particular research focus for Elisabeth and she is currently working on a Marsden (Royal Society of New Zealand) funded research project on trial process in rape cases, following the publication of the co-authored book *From 'Real Rape' to Real Justice* (Victoria University Press, 2011). She is also co-convenor of the New Zealand Law Foundation funded Feminist Judgments Project Aotearoa.

**Kristy Martire** is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Psychology at the University of New South Wales. She is a forensic psychologist and a former ARC Discovery Early Career Research Fellow in Law. She is a member of the Australian Academy of Forensic Sciences, the Australian Psychological Society and the Australian College of Forensic Psychologists. Her research interests include expert evidence, the development of expertise, validating forensic science evidence, and evidence evaluation and communication. She collaborates widely with cognitive and forensic psychologists, forensic scientists and legal scholars and has published interdisciplinary works in various legal periodicals, including the *Australian Bar Review*, *Melbourne University Law Review*, and *Criminal Law Review*.

**Stephen Odgers** is a Senior Counsel practising at the New South Wales Bar and specialises in criminal appeals to the Court of Criminal Appeal and the High Court of Australia. He is Chair of the Criminal Law Committee of the New South Wales Bar Association and a member of the National Criminal Law Liaison Committee of the Law Council of Australia. He is author and co-author of numerous books on criminal justice and the law of evidence, including: *Uniform Evidence Law* (12th edn, Thomson Reuters 2016); *Uniform Evidence Law in Victoria* (2nd edn, Thomson Reuters 2013); *Australian Criminal Justice* (5th edn, Oxford University Press, 2014); and *Uniform Evidence Law: Commentary and Materials* (5th edn, Thomson Reuters, 2015). He is General Editor of the *Criminal Law Journal*.

**Andrew Roberts** is an Associate Professor at Melbourne Law School, the University of Melbourne. He has published widely on various aspects of the law relating to criminal

## ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

evidence and procedure. He is a co-author of *Identification: Investigation, Trial and Scientific Evidence* (2nd edn, Jordan, 2011). He has served on the editorial board of the *International Journal of Evidence and Proof* (2009-2015) and is a member (since 2004) of a panel of commentators, providing analysis on English appellate cases that are reported in the *Criminal Law Review*. His work has been cited by courts in the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand, and by the Law Commission of England and Wales.

**Mehera San Roque** is a Senior Lecturer in the Faculty of Law at the University of New South Wales. Her research spans evidence, feminist legal theory, law and visual/popular culture and surveillance studies, with an emphasis on cross-disciplinary collaborations. Her projects include ARC-funded jury research on interpreters, and with colleagues in law, forensic science, psychology and medicine, she is involved in research on identification evidence and technologies in criminal trials. She has published widely on evidentiary issues, particularly image comparison evidence presented by expert witnesses, and sits on the Council of the Australian Academy of Forensic Sciences.

**Mark Weinberg AO** is a judge of the Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Victoria, having previously held the appointments of judge of the Federal Court of Australia; Deputy President, Federal Police Disciplinary Tribunal; Non-resident Judge, Supreme Court of Fiji; Judge, Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory; and Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Norfolk Island. He was also the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions from 1988 to 1991. He previously held various academic positions in the Faculty of Law, the University of Melbourne, culminating in Dean of the Faculty from 1984 to 1985. One of his main areas of interest in the criminal law has been the problem of unduly complex jury directions in criminal trials. He has lectured extensively over the years. He has also published many papers on various topics associated with substantive criminal law and the law of evidence.