## Freedom of Information



817 3532

## Intents

,	Articl s Some considerations about im- plementing Fol legislation in New South Wales by David Roden	54
	Indiana Smith and the Annual Report Treasure Trove by Bruce Smith	57
	Victorian AAT d cisions Atkinson 60, Downey 61	60
	Federal AAT decisions	61
	Slezankiewicz 61, Altman 62, Hain (No. 1) 63, VXV 64, Hain (No. 2) 65	
	Recent D v lopments •	66
	Selected R ading	68

## Credits

The Freedom of Information Review is published six times a year by the Legal Service Bulletin Co-operative Ltd. Editors: Paul Villanti, Rick Snell R porters: Alexis Hailstones (NSW), Dominic McGann (Qld) Moira Paterson (Vic.) Glenda Waghorn (Vic.) Editorial Committee: Peter Bayne, Peter Hanks, Laurence Maher, John McMillan, Kate Harrison, Spencer Zifcak Editorial Co-ordinator: Elizabeth Boulton Typesetting and Layout: Last Word Printing: Thajo Printing, 4 Yeovil Court, Mulgrave Subscriptions: \$35 a year or \$25 to Alt. LJ subscribers (6 issues) Correspond nc to Legal Service Bulletin Co-op., C/- Faculty of Law, Monash Univ rsity, Clayton 3168 T I. (03) 544 0974

Copyright © Legal Service Bulletin Co-operative Ltd. 1992 Registered by Australia Post — Publication No. VBG 7600 This issue may be cited as (1992) 41 Fol Review.

## Comment

In 1988 Mark Birrell, then Shadow Minister for Health in Victoria and leading Fol advocate, outlined Liberal Party policy on freedom of information (see (1988) 17 *Fol Review* 46). He noted that the Liberal Party was 'not afraid' of Fol legislation and that his party was 'committed publicly and privately, to its existence because it meets our objective of improving public administration in this State'. He announced that Liberal Policy included:

- acceptance of the AAT as an appropriate body to review the status of cabinet documents;
- amendment of the *Fol Act* to include those agencies made exempt by the Cain Government's Fol Exempt Offices regulations;
- not using secrecy regulations as a backdoor means of amending the legislation.

In a later speech to the Law Institute of Victoria, he also indicated that local governments would be brought within the Fol regime.

While it is of course easy to make such promises when in Opposition, the real test is always when power is assumed. The recent landslide victory of the Kennett Liberal Government provides a testing opportunity to see whether the Liberals are true to their word of being publicly and privately committed to FoI — especially with a majority in both Houses of Parliament. As perhaps the most vigorous and effective pro-FoI campaigner in Australia, it would be pleasing to see Mark Birrell play a key role in strengthening FoI legislation in Victoria.

Also worthy of brief comment are the recent amendments to the New South Wales *Fol Act*. These amendments, pushed strongly by the Independents, expand the access period to documents in the possession of government by removing the five-year restriction, and also remove the Minister's power to issue a ministerial certificate in relation to documents exempt under Fol legislation in other States. Both measures are positive developments. It is disappointing that the amendments did not extend to making local councils completely subject to Fol, in view of such a proposal being included in the Independents' 'Memorandum of Understanding' with the New South Wales Government.

In this issue David Roden reviews the operation of the New South Wales *Fol Act* and Bruce Smith continues his quest for annual reports in New South Wales.

Paul Villanti

UNIVERSITY

OF N.S.W.

18. 1

 $\odot$ 

**ISSUE No. 41** 

LAW LIBRARY