CONTENTS

CUTTING THROUGH LEGAL ARGUMENTS: CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION by Kate Galloway	3
'POLITICAL TIMETABLES TRUMP WORKABLE TIMETABLES' INDIGENOUS CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION AND THE TEMPTATION OF SYMBOLISM OVER SUBSTANCE by Megan Davis	{
MODELS FOR 'A MORE COMPLETE COMMONWEALTH' by Lucy Jackson	11
INDIGENOUS CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION AND THE POLITICS OF DISTRACTION by Jason O'Neil	15
CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION: RECOGNISING THE FLAWS IN INDIGENOUS AFFAIRS? by Jessica Kitch CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION OF ABORIGINAL AND TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER PEOPLES: EXPLORING THE LIMITS OF BENEVOLENT LANGUAGE by Shelley Bielefeld	18 22
2014 ESSAY WINNER by Esther Pearson	31
REGULAR	
MONTHS IN REVIEW NOVEMBER-DECEMBER	35

NOVEMBER-DECEMBER

Compiled by Rebecca Gallegos

ARTIST NOTE 36

PAULINE NAPANGARDI GALLAGHER

EDITORIAL

It is with great pleasure that I bring you the final ILB for 2014, the first volume of our two-part special edition on Indigenous constitutional recognition.

This edition is one that will make you think deeply, not only about constitutional recognition itself, but about issues of self-determination, sovereignty, reconciliation and rights. It will also make you think about what sort of future we want for this nation. For me, it is one that acknowledges the truths of our history, and seeks out justice that is done with respect and in genuine collaboration with our First Nations peoples. Constitutional recognition has a role to play in this, but as Prof Megan Davis points out in her article, 'Without a substantive amendment it is unlikely to get the support of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.'

This year Prof Davis has been discussing constitutional reform with Indigenous students at UNSW Law and I am pleased that two students, Jason O'Neil and Jessica Kitch, have contributed to this edition. It is important that the views of young Aboriginal people are heard in this debate. In addition, Lucy Jackson, a recent Juris Doctor graduate from UNSW, has examined possible models for a more complete Commonwealth, based on Noel Pearson's recent Quarterly Essay. We are pleased that the next generation of lawyers are putting their minds to this very complex task the nation is undertaking.

We hear also from JCU's Kate Galloway who cuts through the legal arguments in constitutional recognition; UQ's Gordon Chalmers who challenges thinking around notions of race, identity and the Constitution; and Shelley Bielefeld from UWS who looks at the use of language in policy decisions and constitutional recognition.

I would also like to congratulate the winner of our inaugural university-wide essay competition, Ms Esther Pearson, from the University of Adelaide, whose paper is published in this edition.

As we move towards a referendum, the role of the Indigenous Law Centre in providing quality information on the issue to Australians has become even more important. As such, we will continue to explore this theme in a second thematic edition of the ILB to be published in the New Year.

Editor

Rebecca Gallegos

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders are advised that this publication may contain images of deceased persons.