IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND INVERCARGILL REGISTRY

CIV 2008-425-000354

BETWEEN NINE PADDOCKS LIMITED

Plaintiff

AND TASMAN LIQUOR COMPANY LTD

Defendant

AND BETWEEN TASMAN LIQUOR COMPANY LTD

Defendant

AND NINE PADDOCKS LTD

Plaintiff

AND STANLEY WILLIAM JONES

Counterclaim Defendant

Hearing: (On Papers)

Counsel: ADG Hitchcock for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant

N F Flanagan for Defendant

Judgment: 26 November 2009

COSTS JUDGMENT OF FOGARTY J

- [1] There are two issues on which the parties cannot agree on costs:
 - (a) Whether the defendant's costs in the summary judgment should be awarded to it;
 - (b) Whether the plaintiff claimed time allocation for statements of defence to the defendant's counterclaim is "reasonable" for the purposes of r 14.2(c).

[2] There are authorities which suggest that where the plaintiff is ultimately

successful it should get costs on the summary judgment notwithstanding it has failed

to achieve a summary judgment. In this case, however, Associate Judge

Christiansen refused summary judgment on the grounds that there was a substantial

factual issue that had to be resolved by trial. I agree with that reason. That was

apparent in the trial before me. In my view the plaintiff knew or ought to know that

and should not have pursued the summary judgment.

[3] Accordingly, I accept the compromise solution suggested by counsel for the

defendant that costs in respect of the summary judgment lie where they fall.

[4] The plaintiff is seeking 2.6 days for the filing of statements of defence to the

original counterclaim (two days) and amended claim (0.6 day). The plaintiff's

counsel acknowledges that this allowance is in excess of the time actually taken but

is reasonable as an unders and overs allowance given that the amount of time

allowed by the schedule for the summary judgment preparation and hearing was

wildly outside the time actually spent.

[5] But as I have found that the plaintiff is not entitled to costs on the summary

judgment, this argument of the plaintiff in respect to the statement of defence to the

counterclaim falls away.

[6] I allow 1.6 days for the filing of these statements of defence.

Solicitors:

AWS Legal, Invercargill, for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant

Kensington Swan, Auckland, for Defendant