# **House of Representatives**

# **Supplementary Order Paper**

# Tuesday, 7 August 2007

## Major Events Management Bill

## Proposed amendments

Keith Locke, in Committee, to move the following amendments:

#### Part 1:

Clause 4

Definition of **clean period**: to omit "or clean transport route" (line 3 on page 6).

To omit the definition of **clean transport route** (lines 4 to 6 on page 6).

#### Clause 15

Clause heading: to omit ", clean transport routes," (line 6 on page 15).

Subclause (1): to omit paragraph (b) (lines 13 and 14 on page 15).

Subclause (2): to omit "or clean transport routes," (line 17 page on page 15).

To omit subclause (4) (line 38 on page 15 to line 16 on page 16).

Subclause (5): to omit "or a clean transport route" (lines 19 and 20 on page 16).

Subclause (5): to omit Example 2 (lines 14 to 34 on page 17).

#### Clause 18

Examples: to omit ", as well as the clean transport routes and the associated clean periods," (lines 2 and 3 on page 20).

#### Clause 19

To omit this clause (line 34 on page 20 to line 24 on page 21).

#### Clause 21

Examples: to omit ", as well as the clean transport routes and the associated clean periods," (lines 26 and 27 on page 22).

#### Subpart 5 heading and clause 25A

To omit this heading and clause (line 24 on page 24 to line 11 on page 25).

## **Explanatory note**

The Major Events Management Bill will enact significant new restrictions on the freedom of expression. This Supplementary Order Paper seeks to amend the most extreme measures of the legislation, such as the prohibition on advertising along five kilometre "clean transport routes" to and from the venue of a major event. The first parts of this SOP delete all references to clean transport routes.

The final part of this SOP deletes Clause 25A—the clause which creates a new "pitch invasion" offence. This new offence is unnecessary because, under current law, running on to the pitch of a sports event is treated as a low level disorderly behaviour offence drawing a small fine. A new law with higher penalties creates an unnecessary discrepancy with the current, well-established law.

UNIVERSITY OF OTAGO
2 2 AUG 2007
LAW LIBRARY

